IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

INLINE CONNECTION CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 05-866 (JJF)
	)	
VERIZON INTERNET SERVICES, INC., et al.,	)	**PUBLIC VERSION**
	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

REDACTED VERSION OF
APPENDIX TO INLINE'S ANSWERING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION
TO VERIZON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS THIS ACTION FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

VOLUME I (B001 – B203)

OF COUNSEL:

Carl S. Nadler
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
1717 Rhode Island Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
202.912.2000

Alexander L. Brainerd
Michael K. Plimack
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
333 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104-2878
415.772.6000

Robert C. Bertin
C. Joël Van Over
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007-5116
202.424.7581

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
Mary B. Graham (#2256)
Julia Heaney (#3052)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
302.658.9200

Attorneys for Plaintiff Inline Connection Corporation

Original Filing Date: August 25, 2006
Redacted Filing Date: August 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit		Page
	VOLUME I	
Declaration of Michael P. Wickey		
Ex. 1	Declaration of David D. Goodman dated August 24, 2006	B001
Ex. 2	Plaintiff's Response to Certain Defendant Operating Telephone Companies' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, dated June 13, 2005	B003
Ex. 3	Opinion and Order dated December 5, 2005	B025
Ex. 4	Transcript of Proceedings in Norfolk, Virginia dated July 24, 2006, Civil Action No. 2:05cv205	B039
Ex. 5	Complaint for Patent Infringement and Demand for Jury Trial dated May 3, 2006	B073
Ex. 6	May 3, 2006 correspondence from Mary B. Graham to The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.	B087
Ex. 7	Stayed Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Motion To Lift Stay, Dismiss With Prejudice, Award Defendants Attorneys Fees and Grant Sanctions Against Inline and Its Counsel dated May 16, 2006	B089
Ex. 8	United States Patent No. 5,844,596 dated December 1, 1998	B110
Ex. 9	United States Patent No. 6,236,718 B1 dated May 22, 2001	B174
	VOLUME II	
Ex. 10	United States Patent No. 6,243,446 B1 dated June 5, 2001	B204
Ex. 11	United States Patent No. 6,542,585 B2 dated April 1, 2003	B266
Ex. 12	Termination/Nonexclusive License Agreement between Inline, Goodman and CAIS, dated June 1, 2001	B328
Ex. 13	Declaration of Michael B. Peisner dated August 24, 2006	B335
Ex. 14	Declaration of James Coyne King dated August 24, 2006	B337

Exhibit		**Page**
Ex. 15	February 11, 2004 email from James King to David Goodman attaching a draft assignment agreement between Inline Connection Corporation, David Goodman, and Mercury Communications I, LLC	B339
Ex. 16	February 23, 2004 email from James King to Mike Peisner attaching a draft agreement between Inline Connection Corporation, David Goodman, and Mercury Communications I, LLC	B351
Ex. 17	Draft Exclusive License Agreement between Inline Connection Corporation, David Goodman, and Mercury Communications I, LLC	B364
Ex. 18	Agreement between David Goodman, Inline connection Corporation, and Mercury Communications, I, LLC dated December 30, 2004	B377

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

INLINE CONNECTION CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	**Civil Action No. 05-866 (JJF)**
	)	
VERIZON INTERNET SERVICES,	)	**CONFIDENTIAL**
INC., et al.,	)	**FILED UNDER SEAL**
	)	
Defendants.	)	

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL P. WICKEY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF INLINE'S OPPOSITION TO THE VERIZON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THIS ACTION FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

I, Michael P. Wickey, declare:

I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration and if called as a witness in this matter I could, and would, testify as follows.

I am an attorney at law, licensed to practice before the courts of the State of California, and am an associate at Heller Ehrman LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Inline Connection Corporation ("Inline") in this action. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge and, if called to testify to the matters set forth herein, I could and would testify competently thereto.

1. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of David D. Goodman dated August 24, 2006.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Response to Certain Defendant Operating Telephone Companies' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, dated June 13, 2005.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the Opinion and Order dated December 5, 2005.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of Transcript of Proceedings in Norfolk, Virginia dated July 24, 2006, Civil Action No. 2:05cv205.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Patent Infringement and Demand for Jury Trial dated May 3, 2006.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of May 3, 2006 correspondence from Mary B. Graham to The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of Stayed Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Motion To Lift Stay, Dismiss With Prejudice, Award Defendants Attorneys Fees and Grant Sanctions Against Inline and Its Counsel dated May 16, 2006.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,844,596 dated December 1, 1998.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,236,718 B1 dated May 22, 2001.

10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,243,446 B1 dated June 5, 2001.

11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,542,585 B2 dated April 1, 2003.

12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of the Termination/Nonexclusive License Agreement between Inline, Goodman and CAIS, dated June 1, 2001.

13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Michael B. Peisner dated August 24, 2006.

14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of James Coyne King dated August 24, 2006.

15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of a February 11, 2004 email from James King to David Goodman attaching a draft assignment agreement between Inline Connection Corporation, David Goodman, and Mercury Communications I, LLC.

16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of a February 23, 2004 email from James King to Mike Peisner attaching a draft agreement between Inline Connection Corporation, David Goodman, and Mercury Communications I, LLC.

17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of draft Exclusive License Agreement between Inline Connection Corporation, David Goodman, and Mercury Communications I, LLC.

18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of an Agreement between David Goodman, Inline Connection Corporation, and Mercury Communications, I, LLC dated December 30, 2004.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 24th day of August, 2006 at Menlo Park, California.

By [signature]
Michael P. Wickey